UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,427	04/03/2006	Hans Haindl	2565/145	7575
26646 KENYON & K	7590 05/04/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		OSINSKI, BRADLEY JAMES		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/574,427	HAINDL ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
BRADLEY J. OSINSKI	3767	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revie	w filed 18 Marc	ch 2010.			
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and	a conference v	will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not inc☐ A proposed amendment☐ Other:	lude reasons why a revie	ew is appropria	te.			
The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co			ate of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received.			
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.						
☐ The panel has determing Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 34-52. Claim(s) withdrawn from contact the contact		n(s) is as follow	/s:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further a						
All participants:						
(1) <u>BRADLEY J. OSINSKI</u> .	(:	3) <u>SUE LAO</u> .				
(2) <u>KEVIN SIRMONS</u> .	(4	4)				
/Bradley J Osinski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Exa Unit 3767	aminer, Art	/Sue Lao/ Primary Examiner			